Appendix 3: Risk Register

						Gdwh#Udlv	hg Rzqhu	Jurvy Fxuuho Whvlgxdo Frpphqw				hvl	gxdo Frpphqwv	Frqwurov				
Qz	xpehu	Ulvn#ghvfulswl	Rss2 rq wkuho	Fdxvh	Frqvhtxhq	Th		L	s :	L S	S 1	L	S	Frqwuro#ghvfulswlrq	Gxh#gd	wh Vwdwxv	Surjuhy (V# Dfwlrq#Rzq!
1		Risk of delayed approvals / lack of partnership working		Differing views from County, City, Districts and stakeholders on what should be included within action plan	Negative PR and delayed approvals	Jan 2024	H Lewisman	3	3 2	2 2	2 2	2 :	2	City steering group for action plan set up with stakeholders and oversight by GFO steering group and cross Council representation	March 2024	Completed	100	Community Health Development Officer
2		Low stakeholder engagement	Т	That there are lower levels of interest so delivery of the action plan is delayed or does not happen, or that community groups are focused on other priorities	Lower levels of buy in and engagement going forward	Jan 2024	Hagan Lewisman	2	3 2	2 2	2 2	2	2	Focus groups undertaken and regular stakeholder monitoring and review meetings. Funding to support delivery of actions.	Dec 2024	Completed	100	Community Health Development Officer
3		Action plan not endorsed within the city	Т	standalone document	A strategy that is difficult to deliver	Jan-24	H Lewisman	3	3	2	2	2	2	Stakeholder engagement in action plan. That the cation plan is a system response (not just a Council response)	Apr-24	Completed	100	Community Health Development Officer
4		Lack of resource to deliver the strategy	Т	No dedicated budget to deliver	Unable to deliver key items	Jan-24	H Lewisman	3	3	2	2	2	2	County Council have provided a budget and this has been developed in line with the plan. There is also the opportunity to use this as seed funding.	Apr-24	Completed	100	Community Health Development Officer

This page is intentionally left blank